

See the method of estimating heights by the Barometer by Bouguer, improved by Deluc. in
the Encyclop. Method. Dict. de Physique. Barometre. p. 153.

They suppose the column of mercury in the Barometer divided into horizontal laminae
say of 1. French ligne each, & a column of the atmosphere, of the same diameter, into laminae
of equal weight. mercury being uniformly dense, it's laminae will be of uniform weight as well
as height. The air being compressible, the density of any one of it's laminae will be proportional
to the weight of those incumbent on it; & it's height consequently greater as these become fewer.
The laminae then of Mercury will form an Arithmetical progression, & those of the Atmosphere a geo-
metrical one: that is to say the former will be the natural numbers, of which the latter are the loga-
rithms. stating therefore in French lignes the height of the mercury at any two stations of
different heights take out their logarithms to 5 places only, including the index; subtract the small-
ler from the greater, and the remainder will be the difference of the two heights in French
toises. but this is correct only in a given temperature of air, which Deluc says is not
 $16\frac{3}{4}$ ° of Reaumur's Thermometer. Tremblay says at 92°. & by Col. Flory's Observations at $19\frac{1}{2}$ °.
take 16° then as a Mean. for every degree below 16° add $\frac{1}{16}$ according to Deluc, $\frac{1}{19\frac{1}{2}}$ according to Tremblay, or $\frac{1}{200}$ as a convenient Mean. for
every degree below 16. deduct $\frac{1}{200}$ or for every degree above 16 add $\frac{1}{200}$, the result multiplied
by $6 - 5.118$ will give the difference in English measures.

For estimating the heights of Monticello & Montalto, we have the observations of
1776. Sep. 15. (ante page 31. and p. 2.) when the thermom. of Fahrenheit was from 76° to 81° . say $78^{\circ} = 20\frac{1}{2}$ Reaum.
on that day the barometer was

at the base of Monticello (at the foot of the mill-dam falls) at 30.06 = 330.175 (at $16\frac{3}{4}$ ° = Eng. 30.8	^{Eng. 3} ^{Fr. lignes}
summit of 5° . (a mean of 3. Observations)	$29.46 = 331.625$
at the spring on the higher side of Montalto (mean of 2. Observations)	$29.50 = 331.075$
at the Summit of Montalto	$29.1637 = 327.859$

The result of the preceding method of operation on these elements is that
the Summit of Monticello is higher than it's base ^{toises} $98.41 = 580 - 11.0578 \frac{1}{2} \text{ p. 133. N. } \frac{1}{2} \text{ feet } 3 \text{ lines } = 1.$
and higher than the spring on Montalto $6.029 = 30 - 9$

The Summit of Montalto is higher than that of Monticello $48.427 = 311 - 3$

& higher than the river at the foot of mill-dam falls 892.2

To ascertain, by the same rule, the height of the base of Monticello above the ocean, we have then data.

The mean height of the barometer on the seaside in Europe is stated at $28.9 = 339$. Enc. Meth. utriusque.

The extreme range of mercury in the barometer at Monticello during observs. of $8 - 9^{\circ}$ was.

ante p. 18. 1779. Apr. 20. 29.69 Fahrenheit's Thermom. then being at 33° .

p. 29. 1799. June 8. 28 73

a mean of both is 28.845 $53 = 9\frac{1}{2}$ of Reaumur.

The Log. of $339 = 2.5301.997$

Log. of $324.5 = 2.5112.147$ (Point 28.845 Eng. = 324.5 lignes)

$$\frac{109.85}{200} \times 4\frac{1}{2} = \frac{109.85 \text{ toises}}{4.42} = 24.82$$

deduct the height of Montic. 90.41

leaves 93.41 toises = 610.6 as the height of the base of Monticello above

the ocean. ~~accordingly you descend Monticello the several mill-sites on the Rivanna have a fall of~~
about 50 f. and the great falls of James river are of about 80. suppose the intervening part of James river falls
about 50 f. then $610.6 - 100 = 510.6$ height of the tide water at Richm? above the level of the ocean.
Suppose the distance 180 miles, and it will give 2.79 descent per mile, which is impossible.